## THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial Number:

10/662,244

Mailed: February 14, 2006

Date of Application: 9/13/2003 Serial Number 19/13/2003

Applicant:

FEB 16 2006

Lyle J. Christiansen

Appn: Title:

Aluminum Can Crushing Kit

Examiner/GAU:

Nguyen/3725

## PETITION TO THE COMMISSIONER

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir;

ì

Applicant wishes that you again review his application for patent and his attempt to overcome objections of the examiner and rulings by the Commissioner mailed 2/9/06 on applicant's petition filed on July 25, 2005 and which the ruling was: Petition is Denied. ..

Applicant believes he has described an aluminum can crusher in a drawing and in a description that is understandable by anyone and which could be duplicated if one wanted to do so.

Applicant has described a practical can crusher which no other person has devised.

The patent 5,115,736 by Rodolico, Etal named "Compaction Container for Domestic Solid Waste" is designed for an entirely different use than to crush aluminum cans. Rodolico never describes his compaction container as a tool that could be used as an aluminum can crusher. Examiner has used his own imagination to suggest that this compaction container could be used as an aluminum can crusher.

Also, it was stated by the patent officer that an office letter states in part "a bottom cover which has an attached strike plate..." is a new limitation that has never been claimed. This is absolutely false because in applicant's very first Amendment A. Claim 3 (New) states: an aluminium can crusher which comprises an elongated container with a top cover and a bottom cover and an attached strike plate...etc.

In view of the above information, applicant believes his application should be allowed as soon as possible.

> Very respectfully, Tyle J. Christiansen

Lyle J. Christiansen